

**SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.111 Attorney Docket No.: Q79570
Application No.: 10/767,062**

REMARKS

Upon review of the Amendment submitted on May 11, 2007, an inadvertent error was noticed. The §103 rejections were traversed and it was argued that there was no motivation to combine the references. However, in the fifth paragraph on page 10 and the third paragraph on page 11, the sentence "Accordingly, there is teaching or suggestion in the references lead one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the references, and a *prima facie* case of obviousness has not been established" should read "Accordingly, there is no teaching or suggestion in the references that would lead one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the references, and a *prima facie* case of obviousness has not been established". It is clear that the Examiner would recognize the omission of "no" based on the entire context of the remarks, however, these remarks are being submitted for purposes of maintaining a clear record.

If any points remain in issue which the Examiner feels may be best resolved through a personal or telephone interview, the Examiner is kindly requested to contact the undersigned at the telephone number listed below.

The USPTO is directed and authorized to charge all required fees, except for the Issue Fee and the Publication Fee, to Deposit Account No. 19-4880. Please also credit any overpayments to said Deposit Account.

Respectfully submitted,



Keiko K. Takagi
Registration No. 47,121

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC
Telephone: (202) 293-7060
Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

WASHINGTON OFFICE
23373
CUSTOMER NUMBER

Date: May 30, 2007